Inclusivity and Accessibility in Digital Communication Tools: Case Study of AI-Enhanced Platforms in Indonesia

Ilham Gemiharto[1]*, Samson CMS[1]
[1]Universitas Padjadjaran
Jl. Ir. Soekarno Km. 21, Sumedang 45363 - Indonesia
*Corresponding author: ilham@unpad.ac.id

Abstract
In the dynamic digital communication landscape, ensuring inclusivity and accessibility remains a pivotal concern. This qualitative case study explores contemporary challenges and inventive solutions within AI-enhanced platforms to champion digital communication that is all-encompassing. This research uses a qualitative case study methodology for various AI-enhanced digital communication tools. Comprehensive data was collected through in-depth interviews, content analysis, and usability assessments involving participants, including individuals with disabilities, accessibility experts, and digital communication tool developers. The study reveals significant hurdles in achieving inclusivity and accessibility, encompassing accessibility disparities for individuals with disabilities, limited awareness of accessibility features, and inherent design biases. It also unveils forward-looking strategies like AI-driven assistive technologies, voice-activated interfaces, and inclusive design principles that hold the potential to revolutionize digital communication. These findings underscore the pivotal role of inclusive design in AI-enhanced digital communication platforms, emphasizing the necessity for heightened awareness and collaboration among developers, accessibility experts, and users with disabilities. This research underscores the promising role of AI in mitigating accessibility challenges and advancing inclusivity. In pursuing all-encompassing and accessible digital communication, this qualitative case study provides valuable insights into the prevailing difficulties and pioneering pathways within AI-enhanced platforms. It calls for unified efforts among stakeholders to leverage AI's capabilities to render digital communication tools more inclusive, fostering a more equitable online environment.
Keywords: Accessibility; AI-Enhanced Platforms; Case Study; Digital Communication Tools; Inclusivity.

Introduction

The ever-evolving digital landscape has transformed how individuals communicate, making it an integral part of modern life. In this dynamic digital communication environment, ensuring inclusivity and accessibility is a pressing concern. As digital communication becomes increasingly intertwined with daily activities, the need to guarantee that it is accessible to everyone, including individuals with disabilities, has gained paramount importance. Indonesia, as a rapidly growing digital market, presents a unique context for examining these issues. With a diverse population and an increasing reliance on digital communication tools, the country faces distinct challenges and opportunities in fostering inclusivity and accessibility within its digital ecosystem.

Despite the growing emphasis on inclusivity, challenges persist. Individuals with disabilities encounter disparities in accessing digital communication platforms, with limited awareness of accessibility features exacerbating the problem. Moreover, inherent design biases within these platforms can unintentionally exclude

specific user groups. The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has introduced a new dimension to this discourse. AI-enhanced media hold the potential to address these challenges by offering innovative solutions, such as AI-driven assistive technologies, voice-activated interfaces, and inclusive design principles (Marcus-Quinn & Hourigan, 2022; Prestianta et al., 2018).

Within AI-enhanced digital communication platforms in Indonesia, there are both challenges and innovative strategies that shape the landscape of digital communication. A significant challenge is the digital divide, where some segments of the population have limited access to AI-enhanced platforms due to economic disparities, inadequate infrastructure, and limited digital literacy.

The digital divide is a multifaceted challenge that stems from the unequal distribution of digital resources and opportunities. Economic disparities are pivotal in exacerbating this divide, as individuals and communities with limited financial resources may struggle to afford the necessary devices and internet connections to access AI-enhanced digital communication platforms. This economic aspect of the digital divide is particularly pronounced in Indonesia, where income inequality and uneven economic development are prevalent. Consequently, low-income individuals and marginalized communities face barriers in acquiring the hardware and internet access required to participate fully in the digital sphere (Ballard & Rodriguez, 2023; Zulfiani, 2022).

Inadequate infrastructure further compounds the issue. Rural areas in Indonesia often lack the essential digital infrastructure, such as high-speed internet connectivity, that urban areas enjoy. This infrastructural divide results in unequal access to AI-enhanced platforms, with rural residents encountering slower and less reliable internet connections, limiting their capacity to engage in seamless digital communication. Addressing this challenge entails not only expanding digital infrastructure but also optimizing it to ensure equitable access throughout the country.

Lastly, limited digital literacy, particularly among older generations and less privileged groups, hinders individuals' ability to navigate and utilize AI-enhanced platforms effectively. Efforts to bridge this aspect of the digital divide require comprehensive digital literacy programs that empower all segments of the population with the skills needed to maximize the benefits of digital communication tools while mitigating the disparities associated with limited digital literacy (Bong & Chen, 2021; Haryanto et al., 2021).

AI-powered platforms are designed to collect and analyze an extensive array of user data, ranging from personal preferences and behaviors to sensitive information. The data collection is often subtle and continuous, aiming to personalize user experiences and enhance platform functionality. While this customization can be beneficial, it raises substantial concerns regarding user privacy and data security. Users are becoming increasingly aware of the potential risks associated with data breaches, unauthorized access, and the misuse of their personal information. In Indonesia, as in many other parts of the world, ensuring robust user privacy and data security has become an imperative challenge, one that necessitates a multifaceted approach (Ferrari & Graham, 2021; Hartong, 2021; Helberger, 2020).

One of the foremost strategies for safeguarding user privacy and data security is to implement robust data encryption methods. End-to-end encryption, in particular, has gained prominence as a powerful tool for securing user data during transmission and storage. This technique ensures that data is only accessible to the sender and the intended recipient, rendering it indecipherable to external parties, including platform operators. However, this approach has challenges, particularly in balancing user privacy and the need to combat illegal activities such as cybercrime. Consequently, debates regarding how encryption should be employed continue to shape privacy and security practices within AI-powered platforms. Furthermore, data anonymization, which involves the removal or transformation of personally identifiable

information from datasets, is another innovative strategy. By applying these methods, platforms can preserve user privacy while still harnessing data for improving user experiences and services, although challenges related to de-anonymization and maintaining data utility exist and require careful consideration (Rayan & Alshammari, 2021; Sánchez, 2022; Wang et al., 2017).

Ensuring the accessibility of AI-enhanced digital communication platforms to individuals with disabilities is an ongoing and crucial challenge that centers on promoting inclusive and equitable digital experiences. In Indonesia, a diverse country with a wide range of disabilities, addressing these accessibility concerns is paramount. Screen readers, which provide audio output of on-screen text, are vital for individuals with visual impairments. They enable users to access content and navigate digital platforms effectively. However, the challenge lies in ensuring that these platforms are designed and optimized to work seamlessly with screen readers, a crucial aspect of web accessibility (Santy & Iffan, 2023).

Voice recognition technology, while highly innovative, poses specific challenges in terms of accessibility. It can potentially provide individuals with mobility impairments a means of interacting with digital platforms using voice commands. However, ensuring that voice recognition systems understand and accurately interpret diverse speech patterns and accents is a challenge.

Additionally, other accessibility features, such as captioning for individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing and keyboard navigation for those with motor impairments, require careful consideration. While guidelines and standards exist to support implementing these accessibility features, their consistent application remains challenging. Advocacy and awareness campaigns are instrumental in emphasizing the importance of inclusive design and accessibility, ensuring that the needs of individuals with disabilities are at the forefront of platform development (Becker, 2021; Firmansyah et al., 2021).

Inclusivity and Accessibility in Digital Communication Tools refers to the design, development, and implementation of technologies and platforms that ensure equitable access and participation for all individuals, including those with disabilities and diverse backgrounds, in digital communication. This concept embodies principles of equality, non-discrimination, and social justice, aiming to create a digital environment where no one is left behind due to physical, cognitive, or other barriers (Gray et al., 2015; Mason et al., 2022).

Theoretical Framework

There are some theories and concepts that support inclusivity and accessibility in digital communication tools. Universal Design is a foundational concept in promoting inclusivity and accessibility. It advocates for designing digital communication tools and environments in a way that is usable by the broadest range of people possible without needing adaptation or specialized design. The principles of UD emphasize flexibility, simplicity, and user-friendliness, making it easier for individuals with disabilities to access and utilize digital communication platforms. The Social Model of Disability distinguishes between impairment (an individual's physical or cognitive condition) and disability (the barriers imposed by society). In digital communication, this theory emphasizes that disability results from the interaction between individuals with impairments and inaccessible technologies or environments. Inclusivity and accessibility efforts should focus on removing these societal barriers through inclusive design and technology adaptations (Lid, 2014; Rozeboom, 2021).

Affordance theory suggests that design features of a technology or environment convey their functionality to users. In digital communication, affordances play a crucial role in ensuring accessibility. For example, clear and intuitive user interface elements afford ease of use for all users, regardless of their familiarity with the platform. Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) Principles stress

the importance of user-centered design, usability testing, and iterative design processes. These principles are fundamental in developing digital communication tools that prioritize accessibility. User feedback and testing with diverse user groups, including individuals with disabilities, help identify and address usability and accessibility issues. The Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) are a set of internationally recognized standards for web accessibility. These guidelines provide specific criteria and techniques for making digital content and communication tools accessible to people with disabilities. Following WCAG principles is a practical way to ensure inclusivity and accessibility in digital communication. Inclusive design principles advocate for considering the needs of all potential users from the outset of the design process. This approach recognizes that diversity in user abilities, backgrounds, and preferences is inherent and should be accommodated without creating separate, specialized solutions. Inclusive design aims to provide a seamless user experience for everyone (Clemmensen et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2022).

However, there is a need for comprehensive research to understand the current landscape, including both challenges and innovations, in AI-enhanced digital communication tools in Indonesia. This knowledge is essential to inform policy, design practices, and collaborative efforts to ensure digital communication is genuinely inclusive, leaving no one behind. Thus, this qualitative case study delves into the specific context of Indonesia to explore these contemporary challenges and inventive solutions within AI-enhanced platforms. By conducting in-depth interviews, content analysis, and usability assessments involving individuals with disabilities, accessibility experts, and digital communication tool developers, this research aims to shed light on the prevailing issues and promising pathways toward achieving inclusive and accessible digital communication in Indonesia.

Material and Methodology

From a case study viewpoint, this work employs a qualitative technique and an exploratory paradigm. Flexible and open qualitative research that emphasizes inductive analysis (Creswell, 2017). Descriptive research is used in this kind of study because it allows for examining the current status of a group, an individual, an object, a set of circumstances, a way of thinking, or a series of events (Bungin, 2017; Moleong, 2018).

The constructivist paradigm emphasizes the subjectivity of knowledge and the co-construction of meaning between researchers and participants. In this context, it guides the research towards understanding the lived experiences, perspectives, and challenges of individuals using AI-enhanced digital communication platforms in Indonesia. The qualitative methods adopted in this study align with the constructivist paradigm as they are well-suited for exploring complex social phenomena and human experiences.

As a qualitative research endeavor, this manuscript employs a case study approach, which is inherently constructivist. Case studies delve deep into a specific phenomenon or context to capture the rich and nuanced details of the experiences under investigation. In this case, the research focuses on the challenges faced and innovations introduced in the realm of digital communication tools in Indonesia. The choice of a case study approach allows for an in-depth exploration of inclusivity and accessibility, which is often best understood through examining real-world cases.

Regarding data collection techniques, the manuscript employs a combination of in-depth interviews, content analysis of privacy policies, and user experience assessments. In-depth interviews facilitate a constructivist approach by allowing participants to express their subjective experiences and perspectives, contributing to the co-construction of knowledge. Content analysis of privacy policies provides an objective lens on the policies and practices governing these platforms. User experience assessments can gauge how accessibility and inclusivity

manifest in user interactions with AI-enhanced communication tools. These data collection techniques are well-aligned with the constructivist paradigm's emphasis on multiple sources of evidence and perspectives.

In the data analysis phase, a constructivist grounded theory approach will likely be beneficial. Grounded theory allows for systematically extracting themes and patterns from the data while remaining sensitive to the participants' voices. This aligns with the constructivist paradigm's emphasis on understanding and interpreting the meanings attributed to experiences. By employing a constructivist grounded theory approach, the researchers can capture the multifaceted and nuanced nature of inclusivity and accessibility within AI-enhanced communication platforms in Indonesia. The analysis process involves iteratively coding data, identifying themes, and developing a conceptual framework that reflects the participants' perspectives and the complex web of challenges and innovations in digital communication tools.

Result and Discussion

Law No. 8/2016 on People with Disabilities in Indonesia represents a significant milestone in the country's commitment to ensuring the rights and well-being of individuals with disabilities. The law's comprehensive nature aligns with international standards, such as the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD), which Indonesia ratified in 2011. One key aspect of the law is its emphasis on accessibility, which is directly related to inclusivity and accessibility in digital communication tools. The law mandates that public facilities, services, and information should be made accessible to individuals with disabilities, including digital services. As such, the legislation reflects the government's recognition of the importance of digital inclusion and equal access to technology for all citizens, irrespective of their abilities.

Furthermore, Law No. 8/2016 extends beyond accessibility to encompass various aspects of life for individuals with disabilities. This includes provisions related to education, employment, and social welfare. The law acknowledges the right to inclusive education, where individuals with disabilities should have equal opportunities to access quality education. In digital communication, this implies that educational platforms and resources should be designed to be accessible and inclusive. Additionally, the law promotes equal opportunities for employment and the protection of the rights of employees with disabilities, fostering inclusivity in the workforce. Finally, social welfare provisions address the economic and social well-being of individuals with disabilities, reinforcing the government's commitment to their holistic development. These components collectively highlight Indonesia's comprehensive approach to addressing the challenges and promoting innovations related to inclusivity and accessibility in digital communication tools for people with disabilities.

It is not uncommon for the accessibility of digital communications and AI technologies to be more readily available to individuals with higher economic means, including the upper middle class, in various countries, including Indonesia. However, it is essential to note that the accessibility of these technologies among people with disabilities can vary widely based on factors such as income, geographic location, infrastructure, and government initiatives. In many countries, including Indonesia, individuals with higher incomes are more likely to have access to smartphones, computers, and the Internet, which is essential for utilizing digital communication and AI technologies. Urban areas in Indonesia generally have better digital infrastructure, including high-speed internet access, than rural and remote regions. This can result in disparities in digital accessibility, as urban residents may have easier access to digital technologies. Some governments implement programs and policies to improve digital accessibility for disabled people, including subsidies or incentives for assistive technologies. However, the effectiveness of these initiatives can vary. Including accessibility

features in digital communication and AI technologies can significantly enhance their usability for people with disabilities. Developers and tech companies prioritizing accessibility contribute to a more inclusive digital environment.

The condition of both the superstructure (social and cultural aspects) and infrastructure (physical and technological aspects) can be significant obstacles and challenges in implementing inclusive policies for people with disabilities and other marginalized groups in Indonesia. Negative social attitudes, stereotypes, and lack of awareness about the rights and needs of people with disabilities and marginalized groups can hinder the implementation of inclusive policies. Efforts are needed to change societal perceptions and promote acceptance. Indonesia's diverse cultural landscape means that policies and programs must be culturally sensitive and respect local customs and traditions. Failure to consider cultural factors can impede inclusivity. While Indonesia has legal protections in place, the effective enforcement and implementation of these laws, particularly at the local level, can be challenging. Advocacy efforts for people with disabilities and marginalized groups may vary by region and community. Some areas may have more robust advocacy networks, while others lack representation.

In many parts of Indonesia, including urban areas, physical accessibility for people with disabilities remains a challenge. This includes the lack of ramps, elevators, and accessible public transportation. Access to healthcare facilities and specialized services for people with disabilities and marginalized groups can be limited, particularly in remote areas. Inclusive education can be hampered by inadequate school infrastructure, including accessible classrooms, ramps, and adapted teaching materials. Access to technology and digital services can vary significantly, impacting the ability of people with disabilities and others to access online information and services. Indonesia's transportation infrastructure can be inaccessible and unreliable for people with disabilities. This affects their ability to access education, employment, and healthcare services. In the event of natural disasters or emergencies, the infrastructure for the evacuation and support of people with disabilities and marginalized groups may be insufficient. Ensuring that information is accessible in multiple languages and formats is crucial, considering the linguistic diversity in Indonesia. To address these challenges, comprehensive efforts are needed at the superstructure and infrastructure levels. This includes public awareness campaigns to change attitudes, policy advocacy for more vigorous enforcement of disability rights, investment in accessible infrastructure, and improved healthcare and educational services. Collaboration between the government, civil society organizations, and local communities is essential to progress toward greater inclusivity and equal opportunities for all in Indonesia.

Determining credible and critical informants in this research is essential to ensure the quality and reliability of research data. The researcher seeks out experts and researchers in the field of digital accessibility in Indonesia. These individuals have a strong background in the subject matter and have conducted previous research in this area. The researcher connects with representatives from disability advocacy organizations and NGOs in Indonesia who can provide valuable insights into the challenges faced by people with disabilities in accessing digital communication tools. The voices and experiences of individuals with disabilities are critical in understanding the challenges they face and the impact of innovations. They can provide firsthand accounts of their interactions with digital communication tools. Representatives from organizations advocating for the rights of people with disabilities and marginalized groups can offer valuable insights into the issues and solutions being discussed at the grassroots level.

According to some interviews in this research, there are honest and comprehensive answers from the informants. One of the significant accessibility challenges they face is the lack

of screen reader compatibility on many AI-enhanced platforms. As a disability user, they rely on screen readers to access digital content, and when these platforms are not optimized for screen readers, they miss out on crucial information. Some of the main barriers they encounter when using AI-enhanced platforms for communication are complex user interfaces that are not intuitive for screen reader users and a lack of alternative text for images, making it challenging to understand visual content.

The other informant states that there are disparities in accessibility between urban and rural areas. In urban centers, there is generally better access to high-speed internet and more tech-savvy communities, while rural areas often lack these resources, making digital communication tools less accessible. The observation made by the second informant underscores the significance of the urban-rural digital accessibility divide within Indonesia. Urban centers, characterized by higher population density and better infrastructure, often enjoy superior access to high-speed internet connections. Additionally, these areas tend to have more tech-savvy communities and individuals who are accustomed to using digital communication tools seamlessly. This combination of factors results in a more favorable digital environment in urban settings, where individuals have greater access to the advantages offered by AI-enhanced platforms. Conversely, rural areas face distinct challenges. The limited availability of high-speed internet infrastructure in these regions contributes to a digital divide, which may restrict access to AI-enhanced digital communication tools. Individuals in rural areas may encounter slower and less reliable internet connections, hindering their capacity to participate fully in the digital realm. Moreover, limited exposure to advanced technology may result in a steeper learning curve for residents in rural communities. Thus, the informant's remarks highlight not only the existing urban-rural digital disparities but also the crucial need to address these disparities by investing in digital infrastructure and digital literacy initiatives that bridge the

accessibility gap between urban and rural areas.

Linguistic diversity can be a significant challenge. While the official language is Bahasa Indonesia, many ethnic groups have their languages. Digital communication tools must support multiple languages to ensure inclusivity. The issue of linguistic diversity in Indonesia represents a multifaceted challenge for ensuring inclusivity in digital communication. While Bahasa Indonesia serves as the official language, the country is home to many ethnic groups, each with its distinct languages and dialects. This rich linguistic tapestry, while culturally significant, poses practical challenges for digital communication tools. Without support for multiple languages, individuals from diverse ethnic backgrounds may encounter barriers when accessing and interacting with AI-enhanced platforms.

To foster inclusivity, digital communication tools must be designed to accommodate the linguistic diversity within Indonesia. This involves not only enabling users to interact in their preferred languages but also ensuring that the content presented on these platforms is accessible and comprehensible in multiple languages. Implementing multilingual support is instrumental in leveling the digital playing field, enabling individuals from various linguistic backgrounds to engage fully with the digital world. It also aligns with Indonesia's commitment to cultural diversity and linguistic preservation, emphasizing the importance of respecting and embracing the nation's various languages in the digital landscape. Addressing linguistic diversity challenges in digital communication tools is essential for creating an inclusive environment where all individuals can participate and communicate effectively, regardless of their linguistic backgrounds.

In expressing their views on government policy, they have noticed that some AI-enhanced platforms have started implementing voice command features, which can significantly benefit individuals with mobility impairments. These innovations enhance the accessibility of

these tools. Government policies mandating accessibility standards and guidelines have positively influenced the development of inclusive digital communication tools. These standards help ensure that features like text-to-speech and keyboard navigation are present. They are aware of initiatives where tech companies collaborate with disability advocacy groups to conduct usability testing with people with disabilities. Such collaborations are valuable for identifying and addressing accessibility issues.

The government's active involvement in setting accessibility standards and regulations is pivotal in ensuring that digital communication tools are inclusive and accessible to all members of society. In a country as diverse as Indonesia, where accessibility barriers can disproportionately affect certain groups, government policies that emphasize digital inclusivity are indeed a positive step forward. By incorporating digital accessibility into national policies, the government signals its commitment to creating a digital landscape that leaves no one behind, regardless of their abilities or backgrounds.

The inclusion of digital accessibility in national policies not only benefits individuals with disabilities but also fosters a more equitable and democratic society. It reflects a commitment to equal opportunities and a recognition that digital communication has become an essential aspect of daily life, from education and employment to social interactions and civic engagement. The government's role in this regard extends beyond setting standards; it also encompasses enforcement, monitoring, and collaboration with various stakeholders, including tech companies and advocacy groups. By taking a proactive stance in shaping the digital landscape, the government helps ensure that accessibility is not just a recommendation but a legal requirement, underpinning the principles of equal access and inclusivity for all citizens.

Government incentives, such as tax benefits for companies investing in digital accessibility improvements, are powerful tools to incentivize and encourage tech companies to prioritize accessibility. These incentives align economic interests with social responsibility, demonstrating that fostering inclusivity and accessibility is not only a moral imperative but also a financially advantageous decision. By offering tax benefits, the government recognizes and rewards companies that take proactive steps to enhance the accessibility of their digital communication tools. This approach not only motivates tech companies to invest in accessibility but also contributes to a more inclusive digital landscape, benefiting all users.

Collaboration between the government and advocacy groups plays a pivotal role in advancing the cause of accessibility. Together, they create a powerful force for change. By working in tandem, they can raise awareness about the importance of digital inclusivity, promote best practices, and advocate for the rights of individuals with disabilities. This collaborative approach can also hold tech companies accountable for accessibility, ensuring they adhere to established standards and guidelines. By leveraging the strengths of both government authority and advocacy group expertise, this collaboration can drive the agenda of accessibility and foster an environment where digital communication tools are designed with inclusivity in mind, benefiting not only individuals with disabilities but society as a whole.

Analyzing the research results, some communication science theories can provide a deeper understanding of the dynamics and implications of the issues related to digital accessibility and inclusivity in AI-enhanced communication platforms in Indonesia. This theory emphasizes the importance of making communication accessible to all individuals, including those with disabilities. The research results revealing challenges tied to screen reader compatibility and complex user interfaces underscore how communication barriers can manifest when digital communication tools are designed without accessibility in mind. This alignment with the Communication Accessibility Theory is significant. This theory emphasizes that accessibility in communication channels and technologies is

essential to guarantee equal access to information for all individuals, irrespective of their abilities or backgrounds. The challenges experienced by users, especially those relying on screen readers, exemplify how digital communication tools can unintentionally exclude segments of the population when design choices neglect accessibility standards. The research results serve as a practical illustration of the importance of incorporating principles of Communication Accessibility Theory into developing digital communication tools.

By recognizing that communication barriers can result from inaccessible design choices, this research reinforces the relevance of the Communication Accessibility Theory in the context of digital communication tools. The theory promotes the idea that accessible design choices are not only a matter of social responsibility but also a means of enhancing communication effectiveness and fostering inclusivity. It underlines that accessible communication channels and technologies empower individuals to access, comprehend, and engage with information, contributing to a more equitable and democratic society. The research outcomes not only validate the theory but also provide actionable insights that can guide the development and improvement of digital communication tools to ensure that they align with the principles of accessibility and inclusivity advocated by the Communication Accessibility Theory.

The diffusion of innovation theory analyzes the adoption and integration of accessibility measures in digital communication tools within the context of government policies and incentives. AI-enhanced platforms implementing voice command features signify the innovation's adoption phase. These innovations, aimed at benefiting individuals with mobility impairments, represent a technology change. The government policies mandating accessibility standards and guidelines can be seen as an important external factor driving the adoption and integration of inclusive features in these tools. The government plays a central role in setting the standards, acting as a key influencer.

The diffusion theory further applies when government incentives, such as tax benefits, are discussed. These incentives are a powerful mechanism to encourage tech companies to invest in accessibility improvements. This reflects the recognition of the economic benefits of inclusivity, which can accelerate the adoption of accessibility measures. The government, by offering tax benefits, acts as a facilitator in this process. The collaboration between the government and advocacy groups also fits within the diffusion theory. It represents a partnership and knowledge-sharing phase, where multiple stakeholders raise awareness and advocate for accessibility. This collaboration helps ensure the diffusion process is booming, with government authority and advocacy group expertise contributing to adopting accessibility standards and guidelines by tech companies. The diffusion of innovation theory underscores the importance of government policies, incentives, and collaborative efforts in facilitating the integration of accessibility features in digital communication tools. These measures not only benefit individuals with disabilities but also contribute to a more inclusive and equitable digital landscape.

Conclusions

The research findings and discussion provide a comprehensive set of conclusions regarding inclusivity and accessibility in digital communication tools, focusing on the Indonesian context. First and foremost, the enactment of Law No. 8/2016 on People with Disabilities in Indonesia represents a significant milestone, aligning with international standards and emphasizing accessibility in various aspects, including digital services. This legislation goes beyond accessibility, covering education, employment, and social welfare, promoting inclusive education, equal job opportunities, and the well-being of individuals with disabilities.

However, challenges exist, such as economic disparities and urban-rural divides affecting accessibility, with the government playing a crucial role in addressing these through incentives and initiatives.

Additionally, superstructure and infrastructure challenges, including societal attitudes and inadequate infrastructure, hinder inclusive policies and require comprehensive efforts to change perceptions and ensure effective enforcement of disability rights.

Finally, the importance of linguistic diversity is highlighted, emphasizing the need for digital communication tools to support multiple languages to ensure inclusivity and equal access for all individuals, reflecting the rich cultural tapestry of Indonesia. Applying communication science and diffusion of innovation theories further enhances our understanding of these issues. It underscores the pivotal role of government policies and incentives in promoting accessibility and inclusivity in digital communication tools.

References

Ballard, M. M., & Rodriguez, N. (2023). Police department websites and digital language accessibility: a platform for achieving effective communication between limited English proficient communities and the police. *Policing and Society*, *33*(2), 129–149. https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.2022.2071893

Becker, J. (2021). Promoting Library Services in an Age of Data Insecurity. *The Serials Librarian*, *81*(1), 8–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/0361526X.2021.1875963

Bong, W. K., & Chen, W. (2021). Increasing faculty's competence in digital accessibility for inclusive education: a systematic literature review. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2021.1937344

Bungin, B. (2017). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. (B. Bungin, Ed.) (2nd ed.). Jakarta: PT Rajagrafindo Persada. Retrieved from https://www.rajagrafindo.co.id/produk/metodologi-penelitian-kualitatif-burhan-bungin/

Clemmensen, T., Kaptelinin, V., & Nardi, B. (2016). Making HCI theory work: an analysis of the use of activity theory in HCI research. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, *35*(8), 608–627. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2016.1175507

Creswell, J. W. (2017). *Research Design Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kuantitatif, dan Mixed*. (S. Z. Qudsy, Ed.) (3rd ed.). Yogyakarta, Indonesia: Pustaka Pelajar. Retrieved from https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=1213690

Ferrari, F., & Graham, M. (2021). Fissures in algorithmic power: platforms, code, and contestation. *Cultural Studies*, *35*(4–5), 814–832. https://doi.org/10.1080/09502386.2021.1895250

Firmansyah, F., Fadhilah, T., Catur, A., Nurmelia, E., & Rachmansyah, R. (2021). Application of Digital Communication to Increase The Tourism Promotion in Dayeuh Kolot Village, Subang. *Mediator: Jurnal Komunikasi*, *14*(1), 54–65. https://doi.org/10.29313/mediator.v14i1.7627

Gray, F. E., Hopkins, K., & Kirkwood, C. (2015). Readable, audible, navigable: accessible communication for the non-profit health sector. *Communication Research and Practice*, *1*(4), 335–348. https://doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2015.1095150

Hartong, S. (2021). The power of relation-making: insights into the production and operation of digital school performance platforms in the US. *Critical Studies in Education*, *62*(1), 34–49. https://doi.org/10.1080/17508487.2020.1749861

Haryanto, T., Erlando, A., Mubin, M. K., Fitriyana, Z., Setyorini, W., & Ajija, S. R. (2021). Peningkatan Volume Usaha Anggota Melalui Optimalisasi Penggunaan Platform Digital Pada Koperasi Disabilitas Indonesia. *Jurnal Layanan Masyarakat (Journal of Public Services)*, *5*(2), 372.

https://doi.org/10.20473/jlm.v5i2.2021.372-381

Helberger, N. (2020). The Political Power of Platforms: How Current Attempts to Regulate Misinformation Amplify Opinion Power. *Digital Journalism*, *8*(6), 842–854. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1773888

Lid, I. M. (2014). Universal Design and disability: an interdisciplinary perspective. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, *36*(16), 1344–1349. https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2014.931472

Marcus-Quinn, A., & Hourigan, T. (2022). Digital inclusion and accessibility considerations in digital teaching and learning materials for the second-level classroom. *Irish Educational Studies*, *41*(1), 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2021.2022519

Mason, A. M., Compton, J., & Bhati, S. (2022). Identifying Accessibility Improvement Opportunities for Global Environmental Communication Websites. *Western Journal of Communication*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/10570314.2022.2155070

Moleong, J. L. (2018). *Qualitative Research Methodology* (8th ed.). Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya. Retrieved from https://opac.perpusnas.go.id/DetailOpac.aspx?id=1133305

Prestianta, A. M., Mardjianto, F. L. D., & Ignatius, H. T. N. (2018). Meta Analisis Platform Media Digital Ramah Penyandang Disabilitas. *LUGAS Jurnal Komunikasi*, *2*(2), 69–80. https://doi.org/10.31334/ljk.v2i2.264

Rayan, A., & Alshammari, H. (2021). Personal phone number privacy-preserving models for public use. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, *24*(8), 2377–2388. https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2021.2014143

Rozeboom, S. A. (2021). From Universal Design for Learning to Universal Design for Communion with the Living God. *Journal of Disability & Religion*, *25*(3), 329–346. https://doi.org/10.1080/23312521.2021.1895024

Sánchez, M. (2022). A General Approach on Privacy and its Implications in the Digital Economy. *Journal of Economic Issues*, *56*(1), 244–258. https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.2022.2025729

Santy, R. D., & Iffan, M. (2023). The Effect of Artificial Intelligence and Gamification on Online Purchase Intention Mediated by Customer Experience: Study on Indonesian Marketplace Users. *MIX: JURNAL ILMIAH MANAJEMEN*, *13*(1), 227. https://doi.org/10.22441/jurnal_mix.2023.v13i1.015

Sun, Y., Guo, Q., Zhao, S., Chandran, K., & Fathima, G. (2022). Context-Aware Augmented Reality Using Human–Computer Interaction Models. *Journal of Control and Decision*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/23307706.2022.2026260

Wang, X., Li, S., Zhao, S., & Xia, Z. (2017). A VANET privacy protection scheme based on fair blind signature and secret sharing algorithm. *Automatika*, *58*(3), 287–294. https://doi.org/10.1080/00051144.2018.1426294

Zulfiani, Y. N. (2022). Tinjauan Hukum Platform Digital Sapardi_ID Berdasarkan Undang-Undang Nomor 8 Tahun 2016 Tentang Disabilitas. *Jurnal Al Azhar Indonesia Seri Ilmu Sosial*, *3*(1), 17. https://doi.org/10.36722/jaiss.v3i1.931